1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT TACOMA 8 JESSE KNERR, Case No. 08-5021 RJB/KLS 9 Plaintiff, AMENDMENT TO ORDER 10 DENYING MOTION FOR v. APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 11 HENRY RICHARDS, Ph.D., 12 Defendant. 13 On January 20, 2009, this Court entered its Order denying Plaintiff's motion for the 14 appointment of counsel and struck Plaintiff's reply (Dkt. # 38) on the grounds that he had not 15 signed it as ordered. Dkt. # 40. Plaintiff did sign his reply on January 7, 2009, but it was not 16 docketed by the Clerk until January 26, 2009. Dkt. #41. Therefore, it did not come to the Court's 17 attention at the time the motion was considered. 18 The Court has now considered the contents of Mr. Knerr's reply (Dkt. #41), and finds no 19 showing of exceptional circumstances. As the Court noted earlier, Mr. Knerr has presented his 20 claims in a manner demonstrating an adequate ability to articulate his claims *pro se* and has not 21 demonstrated that the issues involved in this case are complex or that he has had any difficulties in 22 expressing them. There is nothing in the motion nor in his reply indicating that a finding of 23 exceptional circumstances is warranted in this case. 24 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: 25 (1) Plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel (Dkt. # 34) is **DENIED**. 26 (2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for 27

28

ORDER - 1